



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR             | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/697,078 10/31/2003 |                | Graham Christopher Oxland Murray | 111708.02           | 9735             |
| 25944 7.              | 590 03/14/2006 |                                  | EXAM                | INER             |
|                       | RRIDGE, PLC    |                                  | ALI, MOH            | AMMAD            |
| P.O. BOX 1992         |                |                                  | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ALEXANDRIA            | A, VA 22320    |                                  | 2166                | PAPER NOMBER     |

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   | 10/697,078   | MURRAY, GRAHAM<br>CHRISTOPHER_OXLAND                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Mohammad Ali   | 2166   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                            | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De  | <u>ecember 2005</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the approximate the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                      |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/697,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 2166

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed on 12/12/05.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,694,329.

Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,078 Page 3

Art Unit: 2166

Patent No. 6,694,329. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are substantially similar in scope and they use the same limitations.

Claims 1-9 of USP, 6,694,329 reference recites all the elements of claims 1-6 and 8-10 of the instant application 10/697,078. Claim 1 of the USP, 6,694,329 reference also includes additional elements that are not recited in the instant claims.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the additional elements "providing personal agents associated with people, with each personal agent functioning to serve the knowledge acquisition, knowledge sharing and decision making needs of its associated person" of claim 1 of USP, 6,694,239 to arrive at the claim 1 of the instant application 10/697,078 because the person would have realized that the remaining element would perform the same functions as before. "Omission of element and its function in combination is obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform same functions as before." See In re Karlson (CCPA) 136 USPQ 184, decide Jan 16, 1963, Appl. No. 6857, U. S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals.

4. The following table shows the claims in 10/697,078 that are rejected by corresponding claims in 6,694,329.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,078

Art Unit: 2166

## **Claims Comparison Table**

|        | 10/697,078 | 6,694,329 |
|--------|------------|-----------|
|        |            |           |
| Claims | 1          | 1         |
|        | 2          | 2         |
|        | 3          | 3         |
|        | . 4        | 4         |
|        | 5          | 5         |
|        | 6          | 6         |
|        | . 8        | 7         |
|        | 9          | 8         |
|        | 10         | 9         |

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/697,078 Page 5

Art Unit: 2166

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 am-6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166